Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Include files	PFENeilCampbell (Hazelhurst)_Redacted.pdf
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	Our Vision
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Please see response to Hazelhurst farm
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Current infrastructure struggling to cope with existing demand, additional housing will overload current infrastructure.
Family Name Given Name	Campbell
	Neil
Person ID	1286179

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Salford is already experiencing poor air quality, increasing traffic through this area will only add to this issue
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
Туре	Web

Soundness - Positively	Unsound
prepared?	
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	See response to Hazelhurst farm

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See response to Hazelhurst farm
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See response to Hazelhurst farm
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See response to Hazelhurst farm
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-P2 Heritage
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See response to Hazelhurst farm
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-C1 An Integrated Network
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons -	See response to Hazelhurst farm
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be	Local bus routes have significantly reduced further to the introduction of the Leigh/Manchester guided bus route. Lack of provision of schools does not fit in with walk to school policy, this also increases traffic and the knock on effect of the local environment.
as precise as possible.	
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-C3 Public Transport
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Local bus routes have significantly reduced further to the introduction of the Leigh/Manchester guided bus route.
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-C7 Transport Requirements of New Developments
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to	1.Destruction of Open Space: the PtE2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraph 99 of the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework). The site of the Proposed Development is existing open space and none of the following apply: a.an assessment has not been undertaken which clearly shows that the
comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	open space is surplus to requirements. The open space is not surplus to requirements;
	b. it has not been demonstrated that the loss of Green Belt resulting from the Proposed Development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision for the community in this area in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; and
	c.the Proposed Development is not for alternative sports and recreation provision.
	2.Negative Impact on Local Wildlife: the Pffi2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policv. I refer to paragraphs 120(b) and 174(b) of the Framework. A variety of local wildlife (birds, foxes, hedgehogs and squirrels) use the site of the Proposed Development. The site performs several local functions: a home for wildlife, an area where locals can practice physical and emotional well-being activities and I understand that it is also an area of food production with the farmer growing wheat.
	3.Destruction of the Green Belt: the Pffi2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 137 of the Framework. The Pffi2021 does not recognise the importance of the site of the Proposed Development to prevent urban sprawl. I refer to paragraphs 140 and 141 of the Framework. The PtE2021 does not meet the threshold for exceptional circumstances which justify the alteration of the boundaries of the Green Belt at the site of the Proposed Development. I refer to paragraph 145 of the Framework. Even if the Green Belt were reduced in size, the PfE2021 does not explain how the local planning authority have planned for the positive use of the remaining Green Belt. I refer to paragraphs 147 and 149 of the Framework. The Proposed Development set out in the PfE2021 is inappropriate development and should only be approved in very special circumstances.
	 4.Increased Flooding Risk: the PfE2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 159 169 of the Framework. The area around the site of the Proposed Development is prone to flooding. I note that the Council acknowledges the risk of surface water flooding.
	5.Increased Traffic Congestion - the PfE2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 104 and 105 of the Framework. The PfE2021 acknowledges that members of the public may access the stops on the A580 for the Leigh-Salford Manchester rapid transit service to access employment and leisure opportunities in Manchester City Centre. However, it does not acknowledge that members of the public may not chose to use public transport. The PfE2021 fails to adequately take into account the impact of the Proposed Development on the transport networks in the area. The area around the Proposed Development suffers from heavy traffic congestion due to, among other things, its close proximity to two major roads:
	a.the A580 which is a major commuter route for traffic to and from Manchester City Centre; a connecter road for traffic to join the M61 towards Preston and a connecter road for traffic to access the neighbourhoods alongside the A580 (for example, Mosley Common, Boothstown, Ellesmere, Roe Green, Hazelhurst, Swinton and Pendleton); and

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	 b.the M60 which is a major motorway in the North West of England. The local junction (13) is frequently subject to gridlocked traffic during the week due to both the commuter traffic in and around Greater Manchester as well as heavy goods vehicles connecting to the south of England via the M56 and M6. The area is also subject to grid locked traffic on a weekly basis due to visitors accessing the amenities at Trafford Centre (a multi dining, shopping and entertainment complex) and Old Trafford (the football ground for Manchester United). The addition of 400 dwellings will only lead to an increase in the traffic congestion in an area in which the transport network is already under considerable strain. Furthermore, the access points to the Proposed Development can only be through one of the existing cul-de-sac roads off Hazelhurst Road. Hazelhurst Road is already deeply congested with commuter traffic and many residents have to park their vehicles on the street due to a lack of private parking facilities. This is not consistent with the national policy. I refer to paragraph 112(c) of the Framework. 6.Increased Air and Noise Pollution: the PfE2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 93, 104(d) and 105 of the Framework. Due to the close proximity of the A580 and M60 and the existing heavy traffic congestion, our area has a high level of air pollution and regular noise pollution (day and night from the traffic passing on the M60). The Green Belt land in our area acts as a much-needed buffer for the air and noise pollution. In substantially reducing the Green Belt area at Hazelhurst Farm, the PfE2021 does not adkers the needs of the new residents of the Proposed Development. 7.Lack of Suitable Infrastructure: the PfE202 1 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraph 93 of the Framework. The PfE2021 does not address how the use of shared spaces, community
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Proposed Development is existing open space and none of the following
	a.an assessment has not been undertaken which clearly shows that the open space is surplus to requirements. The open space is not surplus to requirements;
	b. it has not been demonstrated that the loss of Green Belt resulting from the Proposed Development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision for the community in this area in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; and
	c.the Proposed Development is not for alternative sports and recreation provision.
	2.Negative Impact on Local Wildlife: the Pffi2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 120(b) and 174(b) of the Framework. A variety of local wildlife (birds, foxes, hedgehogs and squirrels) use the site of the Proposed Development. The site performs several local functions: a home for wildlife, an area where locals can practice physical and emotional well-being activities and I understand that it is also an area of food production with the farmer growing wheat.
	3.Destruction of the Green Belt: the Pffi2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 137 of the Framework. The Pffi2021 does not recognise the importance of the site of the Proposed Development to prevent urban sprawl. I refer to paragraphs 140 and 141 of the Framework. The PtE2021 does not meet the threshold for exceptional circumstances which justify the alteration of the boundaries of the Green Belt at the site of the Proposed Development. I refer to paragraph 145 of the Framework. Even if the Green Belt were reduced in size, the PfE2021 does not explain how the local planning authority have planned for the positive use of the remaining Green Belt. I refer to paragraphs 147 and 149 of the Framework. The Proposed Development set out in the PfE2021 is inappropriate development and should only be approved in very special circumstances.
	 4.Increased Flooding Risk: the PfE2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 159 169 of the Framework. The area around the site of the Proposed Development is prone to flooding. I note that the Council acknowledges the risk of surface water flooding.
	5.Increased Traffic Congestion - the PfE2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 104 and 105 of the Framework. The PfE2021 acknowledges that members of the public may access the stops on the A580 for the Leigh-Salford □ Manchester rapid transit service to access employment and leisure opportunities in Manchester City Centre. However, it does not acknowledge that members of the public may not chose to use public transport. The PfE2021 fails to adequately take into account the impact of the Proposed Development on the transport networks in the area. The area around the Proposed Development suffers from heavy traffic congestion due to, among other things, its close proximity to two major roads:
	a.the A580 which is a major commuter route for traffic to and from Manchester City Centre; a connecter road for traffic to join the M61 towards Preston and a connecter road for traffic to access the neighbourhoods alongside the A580

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

(for example, Mosley Common, Boothstown, Ellesmere, Roe Green, Hazelhurst, Swinton and Pendleton); and

	razenturst, Swinton and Fendleton), and
	b.the M60 which is a major motorway in the North West of England. The local junction (13) is frequently subject to gridlocked traffic during the week due to both the commuter traffic in and around Greater Manchester as well as heavy goods vehicles connecting to the south of England via the M56 and M6. The area is also subject to grid locked traffic on a weekly basis due to visitors accessing the amenities at Trafford Centre (a multi dining, shopping and entertainment complex) and Old Trafford (the football ground for Manchester United).
	The addition of 400 dwellings will only lead to an increase in the traffic congestion in an area in which the transport network is already under considerable strain.
	Furthermore, the access points to the Proposed Development can only be through one of the existing cul-de-sac roads off Hazelhurst Road. Hazelhurst Road is already deeply congested with commuter traffic and many residents have to park their vehicles on the street due to a lack of private parking facilities. This is not consistent with the national policy. I refer to paragraph 112(c) of the Framework.
	6.Increased Air and Noise Pollution: the PfE2021 in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 93, 104(d) and 105 of the Framework. Due to the close proximity of the A580 and M60 and the existing heavy traffic congestion, our area has a high level of air pollution and regular noise pollution (day and night from the traffic passing on the M60). The Green Belt land in our area acts as a much-needed buffer for the air and noise pollution. In substantially reducing the Green Belt area at Hazelhurst Farm, the PfE2021 does not take into account and support the delivery of local strategies to improve the health for all sections of the community. The PfE202 I only seeks to address the needs of the new residents of the Proposed Development.
	7.Lack of Suitable Infrastructure: the PfE202 I in relation to the Proposed Development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraph 93 of the Framework. The PfE2021 does not address how the use of shared spaces, community facilities (for example, there is only one local Co op on Worsley Road with no onsite parking so cars often park on nearby roads when using the local shop and the nearby meeting places and open spaces in Worsley Woods, Roe Green Common and Worsley Common experienced a high proportion of visitors from outside the area during the global pandemic which resulted in a strain on the transport network in the area) and other local services (for example, access to local GPs and Dentists) will be enhanced to sustain the increase in population due to the Proposed Development.
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Soundness	- Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally	No
compliant?	
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Campbell
Given Name	Neil
Person ID	1286179
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No